

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/562,349	05/04/2006	Ulrich Brochheuser	GKNG 1264 PCT	5630	
27256 Dickinson Wrig	7590 10/24/200 2ht PLLC	7	EXAMINER		
38525 Woodward Avenue			WOLFE, DEBRA M		
Suite 2000 Bloomfield Hil	ls, MI 48304		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3725		
			·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

T.		(P		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-0		
	10/562,349	BROCHHEUSER I	ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Debra M. Wolfe	3725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju	uly 2007.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E			merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1 and 6-15 is/are pending in the applitude 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☑ Claim(s) 7 is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1, 6 and 8-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or contents.	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	,		, ,		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			



FINAL REJECTION

Claim Objections

Claims 8 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities: it is suggested to delete "any one of" from line 1 of claims 8 and 9 since these claims only depend from a single claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 6 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Ilhara et al (US PGPub 2002/0092168). Applicant's admitted prior art discloses a process of producing an inner profile in a tube or hollow profile comprising the steps of providing a tube or hollow profile having an internal through opening and a constant cross section over the length thereof, inserting the tube or hollow profile into a supporting sleeve and pressing a forming die with an outer profile into the tube or hollow profile from the second end for producing the inner profile [See pg.1 paragraph 2 of Applicant's disclosure]. Applicant's admitted prior art discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for wherein a pressure loaded annular die is placed on a second tube end and is allowed to return under a pressure load in the opposite direction of the pressing in the forming die. However, Ilhara et al teaches of placing an annular die (43) on a second end of a hollow profile (Wba) and



Application/Control Number: 10/562,349

Art Unit: 372

allowing the annular die (43) to return under a pressure load (backward extrusion of the material) in the opposite direction to the pressing direction of a forming die (42). It is noted that Ilhara et al states in lines 14-16 of paragraph [0059] that the second end (Wba) is not constrained during the forming operation, therefore the annular die (43) must return in the opposite direction to the pressing movement for the backward extrusion process to be successful. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the prior art process with a pressure loaded annular die that returns under a pressure load in order to evenly control the backward extrusion of the tube or hollow profile.

In reference to claim 6, the pressure load on the annular die (43) is reduced with an increasing return path. It would be inherent to one of ordinary skill in the art that the pressure load acting on the annular die (43) must be reduced with an increasing return path (pressure acting upon the annular die (43) by the second end (Wba)) in order for the inner profile to be formed by the backward extrusion process.

In reference to claim 13, the supporting sleeve is axially longer than the tube or hollow profile.

In reference to claim 14, Ilhara et al teaches the annular die retracts during the step of pressing in response to a backward flow of material.

In reference to claim 15, the combination of the admitted prior art and Ilhara discloses the annular die and pressing die are coaxially arranged.

2. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted prior art in view of Ilhara et al as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of Budrean et al (US Patent # 4,785,648). The admitted prior art in view of Ilhara et al discloses the



Application/Control Number: 10/562,349

Art Unit: 3725

invention substantially as claimed except for wherein the inner profile is a splined shaft or ball track profile however, Budrean et al teaches the use of pressing dies having a splined outer profiles and ball track profiles for the purpose of forming a splined shaft profile or a ball track profile in a hollow profile or tube. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the outer profile of the pressing die in Ilhara et al to have a splined outer profile as taught by Budrean et al in order to obtain a splined inner shaft profile. It is further noted that Applicant fails to disclose any criticality to the splined shaft profile (see page 6 lines 3-4 of the sixth paragraph).

Pertinent Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patent # 2,344,285 to Cormode teaches of allowing a supporting sleeve (annular die f) to return based on the pressure load in the opposite direction of the pressing.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 is allowed since the prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the pressure load on the annular die is reduced in such a way that the sum of an integrated wall friction between the tube or hollow profile and the supporting sleeve in the region of deformation and the pressure load on the annular die remains approximately constant.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Page 5



Application/Control Number: 10/562,349

Art Unit: 3725

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Debra Wolfe whose telephone number is (571) 272-1904. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Thursday 7am - 4:30pm with alternating Friday 7am - 3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached at (571) 272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Debra M Wolfe Examiner Art Unit 3725

DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700